arXiv:1703.09579v1 [hep-ph] 28 Mar 2017

Modified TBM and role of a hidden $\mathbb{Z}_2$

Rome Samanta[1] and Mainak Chakraborty[2]

[1] Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India
rome.samanta@saha.ac.in
[2] Centre of Excellence in Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences
SOA University, Khandagiri Square, Bhubaneswar 751030, India
mainak.chakraborty2@gmail.com

Abstract. In a residual $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry approach, we investigate minimally perturbed Majorana neutrino mass matrices. Constraint relations among the low energy neutrino parameters are obtained. Baryogenesis is realized through flavored leptogenesis mechanism with quasi-degenerate right handed (RH) heavy neutrinos.

Keywords: TBM mixing, Residual symmetry, Leptogenesis.

1 Introduction

In the minimally extended Standard Model (SM) with singlet RH neutrino fields N_{Ri}, $\mathcal{O}$(eV) neutrino masses are generated through Type-I seesaw mechanism. Relevant Lagrangian for the latter can be written as

$$-\mathcal{L}^{\nu,N}_{mass} = \bar{\nu}_{L\alpha}(m_D)_{\alpha i}N_{Ri} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N^C}_{iR}(M_R)_i\delta_{ij}N_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \tag{1}$$

with $N^C_i = C\bar{N}^T_i$. The effective light neutrino Majorana mass matrix M_ν which is then obtained by the standard seesaw formula $M_\nu = -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T$, can be put into a diagonal form as $U^T M_\nu U = M^d_\nu \equiv \text{diag}\,(\text{m}_1, \text{m}_2, \text{m}_3)$ with m_i assumed to be real. The effective low energy neutrino Majorana mass term that contains this M_ν comes out as

$$-\mathcal{L}^{\nu}_{mass} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nu^C_{L\alpha}}(M_\nu)_{\alpha\beta}\nu_{L\beta} + \text{h.c.}. \tag{2}$$

Now in the basis where the charged lepton mass matrix M_ℓ is diagonal, U follows the standard parametrization[1]. Let us now have look at the latest 3σ ranges [2] for the relevant neutrino parameters obtained from oscillation data. solar: $\Delta m^2_{21} \equiv m^2_2 - m^2_1$: (7.02–8.09) $\times 10^{-5}$ eV^2, atmospheric: $|\Delta m^2_{31}| \equiv |m^2_3 - m^2_1|$: (2.32–2.59) $\times 10^{-3}$ eV^2, θ_{12}: 31.29^o–35.91^o, θ_{23}: 38.3^o–53.3^o, θ_{13}: 7.87^o–9.11^o. Finally, thanks to the Planck for the observed upper bound on the sum of the light neutrino masses; $\Sigma_i m_i < 0.23$ eV.

In Ref.[3] it is argued that any horizontal symmetry of neutrino Majorana mass matrix M_ν is a residual $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ flavour symmetry. The symmetry generators G_i obey the relation $U^\dagger G_i U = d_i$ with i=2, 3, i.e. there are two independent G_i and hence d_i. We can choose these two independent d matrices as $d_2 = \text{diag}\,(-1, 1, -1)$ and $d_3 = \text{diag}\,(-1, -1, 1)$. Thus for a given U, one can calculate G_2 and G_3 corresponding to d_2 and d_3 respectively. In this work we focus particularly on the TBM mixing and calculate the corresponding G_i matrices; $G_{1,2}^{TBM}$ and $G_3^{\mu\tau}$. It can be justified theoretically as well as phenomenologically that G_1^{TBM} is the only symmetry which is viable one to exist as the unbroken $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generator in the Lagrangian. In the next section, we present an ephemeral discussion regarding the implementation of G_1^{TBM} and $G_3^{\mu\tau}$ on the neutrino fields.

2 Breaking of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mu\tau}$: perturbation to the TBM mass matrices

Depending upon the residual symmetries on the neutrino fields and the phenomenological viability of the textures of the mass matrices, we discuss two cases.

Case 1. At the leading order G_1^{TBM} and $G_3^{\mu\tau}$ transform both the neutrino fields ν_L and N_R as $\nu_L \to G_i \nu_L$ and $N_R \to G_i N_R$. Now we choose a perturbation matrix $M_R^{G_1\epsilon}$ which violates $\mu\tau$ interchange in M_R but respect G_1^{TBM}. The leading order mass matrices and the perturbation matrix are of forms

$$m_D^0 = \begin{pmatrix} b-c-a & a & -a \\ a & b & c \\ -a & c & b \end{pmatrix},\ M_R^0 = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix},\ M_R^{G_1\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon & \epsilon' \\ \epsilon & \epsilon_4 & 0 \\ \epsilon' & 0 & \epsilon_6 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}(3\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_6)$ and $\epsilon' = -\frac{1}{4}(3\epsilon_6 + \epsilon_4)$. Now the effective M_ν which is invariant under G_1^{TBM} is written as $M_{\nu 1}^{G_1^{TBM}} = -m_D^0 M_R^{-1} (m_D^0)^T$ with $M_R = M_R^0 + M_R^{G_1\epsilon}$. Since G_1^{TBM} invariance of the effective M_ν always fixes the first column of the mixing matrix to $(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}})^T$ up to some phases, a direct comparison of the latter with the U_{PMNS} matrix leads to a constraint relation between θ_{12} and θ_{13} as

$$\sin^2\theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - 2\tan^2\theta_{13}). \tag{4}$$

Case 2. In this case, at the leading order, all the neutrino fields obey $G_3^{\mu\tau}$. However G_1^{TBM} of the over all M_ν is ensured only by the transformation $\nu_L \to G_1^{TBM}\nu_L$. Since the RH singlets are free from G_1^{TBM}, the perturbation matrix which is added with M_R is now arbitrary. Now the most general Dirac mass matrix m_D^0, the Majorana mass matrix M_R^0 and the perturbation matrix are of the forms

$$m_D^0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{1}{2}(b-c) & \frac{1}{2}(c-b) \\ a & b & c \\ -a & c & b \end{pmatrix},\ M_R^0 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix},\ M_R^\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_6 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Again the effective M_ν is calculated as $M_{\nu 2}^{G_1^{TBM}} = -m_D^0 M_R^{-1} (m_D^0)^T$ with $M_R = M_R^0 + M_R^\epsilon$. Besides reproducing the same relation as obtained in Eq. 4, another interesting point is realized that m_D^0 of (5) is of determinant zero due to the residual G_1^{TBM} symmetry; thus the $M_{\nu 2}^{G_1^{TBM}}$ matrix has one zero eigenvalue. For the remnant G_1^{TBM} symmetry, m_1 is of vanishing value.

3 Flavored leptogenesis with quasi degenerate RH neutrinos

Lepton number, CP violating and out of equilibrium decays of RH neutrinos create a lepton asymmetry[4]. A general expression for the CP asymmetry parameter ϵ_i^α for any RH mass spectrum is given by[5]

$$\frac{1}{4\pi v^2 \mathcal{H}_{ii}} \Big[\sum_{j \neq i} g(x_{ij}) \ \mathrm{Im}\ \mathcal{H}_{ij} (m_D)^\dagger_{i\alpha} (m_D)_{\alpha j} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{r \mathrm{Im}\ \mathcal{H}_{ji} (m_D)^\dagger_{i\alpha} (m_D)_{\alpha j}}{r^2 + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{jj}}{16\pi^2 v^4}} \Big]. \quad (6)$$

In (6), $r = (1 - x_{ij})$, $\mathcal{H} \equiv {m_D}^\dagger m_D$, $x_{ij} = M_j / M_i$ and $g(x_{ij})$ is given by

$$g(x_{ij}) = \frac{\sqrt{x_{ij}}(1 - x_{ij})}{(1 - x_{ij})^2 + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{jj}}{16\pi^2 v^4}} + f(x_{ij}). \quad (7)$$

The term proportional to $f(x_{ij})$ comes from the one loop vertex contribution while the remaining are from self energy diagram. Note that in the limit where the RH neutrinos are exactly degenerate, i.e., $x_{ij} = 1$, the self energy contribution vanishes and thus a nonzero value of CP asymmetry parameter ε_i^α is produced only through the vertex contribution. In our model RH neutrinos are quasi degenerate and thereby enhances the CP asymmetry parameter significantly through self energy contributions.

Another important issue is that the flavor effect[6] to the produced lepton asymmetry. In our upcoming work[7] we address this explicitly in detail, theoretically as well as numerically.

References

1. K.A Olive et al [Particle Data Group] Chinese Physics C38, 090001 (2014), R. Samanta, P. Roy and A. Ghosal, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 12, 662 (2016).
2. M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B **908**, 199 (2016).
3. C. S. Lam, Phys. Lett. B **656**, 193 (2007) Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 121602 (2008), Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073015 (2008).
4. M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B174, 45 (1986).
5. A. Pilaftsis and T.E.J. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. **B692** (2004) 392. R. Samanta, M. Chakraborty, P. Roy and A. Ghosal, JCAP **1703**, no. 03, 025 (2017).
6. A. Abada, S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, F.-X Josse-Michaux, M. Losada and A. Riotto, JHEP **0609** (2006) 010.
7. R. Samanta, M. Chakraborty [upcoming]